

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,815	BAUN, KENNETH W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tony Ko	2878	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tony Ko. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Gilbert (4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ferrett (U.S. Patent 5,408,359).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Gilbert called the examiner and pointed out that figures 1-4 and element 28 can not be found in the Ferrett reference cited in office action dated 8/22/07. Examiner pointed out to Mr. Gilbert that element 28 should've referenced 18 and figure 1-4 is intended to reference pages 1-4. Upon Mr. Gilbert's request, a new office action will be sent out to reflect the changes and a three months statutory period will be renewed upon the mailing of this office action.